IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Writ petition No. 34 (SS) of 2006

Pratap Singh Mehra,
S/o late Sri Prem Singh Mehra,
Resident of village – Kaknai (Kathauti),
Post Office – Chalthi,
Champawat. .... Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal, through Secretary, Education, Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
2. Director of Education (Basic), Uttaranchal, 2, Subhash Marg, Dehradun.
3. District Basic Education Officer, Champawat.
4. Gram Shiksha Samiti, Gram Panchayat Kaknai (Kathauti), Post Office – Chalthi, District-Champawat through its Chairman.

.....Respondents.

Hon'ble B. S. Verma, J.:

Heard Sri R.P. Nautiyal, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondent no. 3 had issued an advertisement dated 11.08.2005 for inviting application for Shiksha Mitra. In pursuance to the aforesaid advertisement petitioner had applied for Shiksha Mitra.

The submission of the petitioner is that petitioner is the only person who had applied for the post of Shiksha Mitra in pursuance to that advertisement but he was not appointed on the post of Shiksha Mitra. In this regard petitioner has moved a representation raising his all

grievance before respondent no. 3 but no action has been taken by the respondent no. 3.

During the course of argument, petitioner has confined his prayer that the writ petition may be disposed of with a direction to the respondent no. 3 to decide his representation at the earliest.

The prayer is innocuous. Without going into the merit of the case, I direct the respondent no. 3 to decide the petitioner's representation dated 02.12.2005 within a period of three weeks' from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

With this direction the writ petition is finally disposed of. No order as costs.

(B. S. Verma, J)

January 12, 2006
SKSharma